

# Technical Comments

*TECHNICAL COMMENTS are brief discussions of papers previously published in this journal. They should not exceed 1500 words (where a figure or table counts as 200 words). The author of the previous paper is invited to submit a reply for publication in the same issue as the Technical Comment. These discussions are published as quickly as possible after receipt of the manuscripts. Neither AIAA nor its Editors are responsible for the opinions expressed by the authors.*

## Comment on “Parallel Implementation of Structural Dynamic Analysis for Parachute Simulation”

Tayfun E. Tezduyar\*

Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005

DOI: 10.2514/1.28281

THE parallel structural dynamics (SD) implementation described in this paper [1] is the same as the parallel SD implementation described in [2] as part of the parallel three-dimensional computational method developed for modeling parachute fluid-structure interactions (FSI). Kalro and Tezduyar ([2], published in 2000), has a section with the heading “Parallel Implementation.” The first sentence of that reads, “Both the flow and SD solvers have been implemented for parallel computation within a message-passing programming environment.” Another sentence in that section reads, “The parallel implementation of the individual solvers are essentially identical.” Those parallel implementations for the fluid dynamics and SD solvers were used also in the three-dimensional parachute FSI computations reported in [3], also published in 2000. The first sentence of its abstract reads, “We present a parallel computational strategy for carrying out 3-D simulations of parachute fluid-structure interaction (FSI), and apply this strategy to a round parachute.”

Before the received date of this article (3 Dec. 2004; revision received 4 Dec. 2005), at least six more journal papers (see, for example, [4–6]), 23 conference papers (including five AIAA papers), and three Ph.D. theses were published on various aspects of parallel computation of parachute FSI. In all those papers and theses, the parallel computations reported were based on parallel implementation of both the fluid dynamics and SD.

The following statements made in the article are inaccurate or imply something that is inaccurate.

1) Introduction: “Unfortunately, usage of parallel computation techniques in the area of parachute simulation has been limited.”

2) Introduction: “Most of the available parallel developments and implementations in parachute simulations focus on the computational fluid dynamic (CFD) area.”<sup>7</sup>

3) Introduction: “Coupled fluid-structure simulation, the most accurate simulation approach for parachute behavior, requires both

CFD simulation and SD simulation. Thus, it is necessary to develop an efficient parallel SD analysis algorithm, as well as a parallel CFD analysis algorithm.” This implies that the existing parachute FSI models do not include parallel SD solvers.

4) Section III: “A number of researchers have addressed the parallel techniques used in the CFD analysis of parachute simulation.<sup>7,15,16</sup>” This implies that the parallel solution techniques used in earlier parachute simulations have been limited to the fluid dynamics solver.

5) Section III: “The parallel communication techniques employed by them are adopted in this research for the convenience of combining the SD analysis and CFD analysis to produce coupled fluid and structural simulation.” This implies that the SD and CFD analyses were not before combined to produce a coupled FSI simulation.

## References

- [1] Zhang, W., Accorsi, M. L., and Leonard, J. W., Parallel Implementation of Structural Dynamic Analysis for Parachute Simulation, *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 44, No. 7, July 2006, pp. 1419–1427.
- [2] Kalro, V., and Tezduyar, T. E., “A Parallel 3D Computational Method for Fluid-Structure Interactions in Parachute Systems,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol. 190, No. 3, 2000, pp. 321–332.
- [3] Stein, K., Benney, R., Kalro, V., Tezduyar, T. E., Leonard, J., and Accorsi, M., “Parachute Fluid-Structure Interactions: 3-D Computation,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol. 190, Nos. 3–4, 2000, pp. 373–386.
- [4] Tezduyar, T., and Osawa, Y., “Fluid-Structure Interactions of a Parachute Crossing the Far Wake of an Aircraft,” *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol. 191, No. 6, 2001, pp. 717–726.
- [5] Stein, K., Tezduyar, T., Kumar, V., Sathe, S., Benney, R., Thornburg, E., Kyle, C., and Nonoshita, T., “Aerodynamic Interactions Between Parachute Canopies,” *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 70, No. 1, 2003, pp. 50–57.
- [6] Stein, K., Tezduyar, T., and Benney, R., “Computational Methods for Modeling Parachute Systems,” *Computing in Science & Engineering*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2003, pp. 39–46.

E. Livne  
Associate Editor

Received 9 October 2006; accepted for publication 23 May 2007. Copyright © 2007 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved. Copies of this paper may be made for personal or internal use, on condition that the copier pay the \$10.00 per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; include the code 0001-1452/07 \$10.00 in correspondence with the CCC.

\*Professor, Mechanical Engineering, Mail Stop 321, 6100 Main Street; tezduyar@rice.edu.